

## Families, Children &amp; Learning

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
2	Director of Families, Children & Learning	288	288	0	0.0%	100	100	0
(668)	Health & Disability Services	5,489	5,262	(227)	-4.1%	1,105	1,105	0
(325)	Education & Skills	8,237	8,445	208	2.5%	755	695	60
2,991	Children's Safeguarding & Care	37,603	38,699	1,096	2.9%	3,002	2,902	100
(74)	Children's Safeguarding & Quality Assurance	1,451	1,451	0	0.0%	0	0	0
1,926	Total Families, Children & Learning	53,068	54,145	1,077	2.0%	4,962	4,802	160

69  
Explanation of Key Variances (Note: FTE/WTE = Full/Whole Time Equivalent)

Key Variances £'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Health & Disability Services			
(118)	Corporate Critical - Disability Agency Placements	It is currently forecast that there will be 12.12 FTE disability agency placements during 2016/17. The budget allows for 14.00 FTE and this has resulted in the underspend of £0.118m.	
(75)	Recruitment and expenditure restrictions	A £0.075m target has been allocated to each Assistant Director for the year to be met from cutting non essential expenditure and/or holding posts vacant for a longer period of time	

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
(50)	Contracted services	A number of efficiency savings have been realised following a comprehensive review of all contracted services for children with disabilities.	
<b>Education &amp; Skills</b>			
255	Home to School Transport	<p>The overspend is due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The full year effect of the new contract that commenced in September following the retendering exercise;</li> <li>• Lower impact of Independent Travel Training than anticipated;</li> <li>• Increased costs in 16-19 travel;</li> <li>• Additional 'recoupment' costs.</li> </ul> <p>The overspend reflects the latest numbers of children (353) being transported. An analysis has been undertaken with the budget holder for each area of the budget and the latest position reflects the estimated outturn position based on current information.</p>	Further work is taking place to understand the increased costs of transport and identify mitigations.
53	Youth Service	An element of the 2016/17 budget savings strategy for the Youth Service was based on reducing the costs for premises following a review of buildings. At this stage it is unlikely that these savings will materialise, resulting in the overspend of £0.053m.	Negotiations are currently underway with third sector providers to increase delivery from the Youth Service buildings. It is expected that an initial one year licence will be agreed for one building and will be in place by July 2016 which will produce a significant contribution towards this pressure. Other negotiations are continuing.

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
(75)	Recruitment and expenditure restrictions	A £0.075m target has been allocated to each Assistant Director for the year to be met from restricting non essential expenditure and/or holding posts vacant for a longer period of time where possible.	
Children's Safeguarding & Care			
277	Corporate Critical - Residential Agency Placements	The projected number of residential placements (32.15 FTE) is broken down as 28.76 FTE social care residential placements (children's homes), 3.00 FTE schools placements and 0.40 FTE family assessment placements. The budget allowed for 27.70 FTE social care residential care placements, 3.90 FTE schools placements and 0.40 FTE family assessment placements. The average unit cost of these placements is also higher than the budgeted level. The number of children's home placements is 1.06 FTE above the budgeted level, and this combined with the overall average unit cost pressure of £151.01 per week results in an overspend of £0.277m.	Regular reviews of any placement in a residential setting are being undertaken and there are plans for a number of children to move them to alternative placements in the community where this is appropriate.

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
499	Corporate Critical - Independent Foster Agency (IFA) Placements	The numbers of children placed in Independent Foster Agency placements has decreased in recent years. During 2015/16 there were 158.06 FTE. The current projected number of placements in 2016/17 is 134.26 FTE, a reduction of 15.1%. The budget for IFA placements included significant levels of savings and was set at 121.00 FTE. The numbers being higher than the budget by 13.26 FTE results in a projected overspend of £0.699m.	This project is working very well and with a reduction in children in Care (CIC) is enabling the service to achieve an improved financial outcome. If both factors continue, it may be possible to achieve greater savings. It is, however, anticipated that the recruitment of new in-house foster carers will reduce the overspend by £0.200m.
215	Corporate Critical - Secure Accommodation	It is estimated that during 2016/17 there will be 2.03 FTE secure (welfare) placements and 0.76 FTE secure (justice) placements. The budget allowed for 1.00 FTE welfare and 1.00 FTE justice placements during the year. There are currently 2 children in a secure (welfare) placement and none in a secure (criminal) placement resulting in a projected overspend of £0.215m.	Secure placements are only used as a last resort. They are used when deprivation of a young person's liberty is the only solution which will enable work to start to keep them safe on exit from the unit. The Adolescent Service is being successful in reducing the risk and stepping down needs and it is planned that the service will be able to impact on the number of young people requiring secure placements.
295	Corporate Critical - Semi-independent/Supported placements	The number of semi-independent and supported living placements is projected to be 19.93 FTE and this is 1.43 FTE above the budgeted level. In addition, the average unit cost of these placements is £221.26 per week higher than the budget and this results in an overspend of £0.295m.	It will be difficult to achieve any savings in this area due to pressures elsewhere in the system.

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
(292)	Corporate Critical - In-House Fostering	As at the 31st May 2016 there were 146 children placed with 'in-house' foster carers. The budget was based on an increasing trend over the last few years and was set at 156.50 FTE placements. This has resulted in the current projected underspend of £0.292m. There is currently work ongoing to increase the number of in-house foster carers and this should result in a net reduction in costs which has been taken into account in the independent foster agency placement projection above.	The project will try to increase the number of in house foster carers by more than predicted
(94)	Corporate Critical - Family & Friends placements, Child Arrangement Orders and Special Guardianship Orders	The budget allows for 310.00 FTE placements of these types. It is currently anticipated that there will be 295.11 FTE children in these placements during 2016/17. In addition the average unit cost is lower than budgeted and this results in the underspend of £0.094m.	
305	Corporate Critical - Care Leavers	The projected number of care leaver placements in 2016/17 is 77.42FTE. The budget allows for 58.10 FTE placements. The increase mainly relates to growing numbers of Staying Put placements (45.60 FTE in 2016/17). In addition, the average unit cost is £15.79 higher than the budget and the non-accommodation support costs are also overspending resulting in an overall overspend of £0.305m.	The right of 18 year olds to decide to 'Stay Put' with their foster carers is a requirement on the service and one which we are tasked to encourage as in the best interests of young people. We do not anticipate this spend reducing. The current Social Work Bill is likely to lead to increased pressure in this area.

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
250	Social Work Teams	The overspend within the social work teams relates predominantly to use of agency staff. This is a result of a large number of vacant posts across the social work teams at present and a difficulty in retaining experienced staff. There are currently 29 locum social workers employed within the social work service resulting in additional costs of £2.100m off-set by savings on vacant posts of £1.550m. It is anticipated that the new measures designed to improve recruitment and retention of social workers will reduce this overspend by £0.300m resulting in the forecast overspend of £0.250m.	The new model for social work adopted in Brighton & Hove has attracted a lot of interest from social workers from other areas and those employed as agency staff currently. With the potential agreement to a market supplement payment, it is hoped that it will be possible to achieve further reductions in agency spend.
(216)	Adoption	Following changes to adoption regulations and a re-basing of the inter-agency budget, it is anticipated that there will be an underspend in 2016/17. This is based on estimated income from other agencies which was considerably higher last year than in previous years. In addition, it includes income from the new Inter Agency Adoption Fee Grant.	
(143)	Other	There are a number of smaller underspends across Children's Safeguarding and Care primarily resulting from staff vacancies.	

## Health &amp; Adult Social Care

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
1,103	Adult Social Care	45,507	48,705	3,198	7.0%	4,631	4,631	0
1,350	Provider Services	12,114	12,239	125	1.0%	1,369	1,369	0
(808)	Integrated Commissioning	8,688	8,588	(100)	-1.2%	159	159	0
474	S75 SPFT	11,675	11,911	236	2.0%	0	0	0
(233)	Public Health	1,242	1,242	0	0.0%	1,096	1,096	0
1,886	Total Health & Adult Social Care	79,226	82,685	3,459	4.4%	7,255	7,255	0

## 75 Explanation of Key Variances (Note: FTE/WTE = Full/Whole Time Equivalent)

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Adult Social Care			
2,049	Corporate Critical Community Care - Learning Disability	There has been an increase in the level of clients presenting with greater complexity of need in the last few months. This has resulted in an increase in the average unit costs for Learning Disability Care packages. Numbers of clients have remained fairly static and the overspend is almost entirely a result of increased costs of packages of care.	Continued review of care packages to ensure provision of services is effective, appropriate and value for money. Making the best use of in-house resources is also critical. Continued exploration of ways to reduce the need for high cost care and admissions into residential homes.  Also, talking to NHS colleagues regarding the impact of the transforming care programme

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
38	Corporate Critical Community Care - No Recourse to Public Funds	The forecast Whole Time Equivalent (WTE) number of care packages for clients with no recourse to public funds is slightly higher than the budgeted estimate. In addition the average unit cost is slightly higher as well, resulting in the small overspend of £0.038m.	
506	Corporate Critical Community Care - Physical & Sensory Support	The number of WTE care packages is significantly greater than the budgeted level. This is partly the result of increasing numbers of 'new' older people being discharged from hospital requiring social care services for the first time, as well as increased community demand.	<p>Numbers of clients requiring social care services have been higher than anticipated and work is ongoing to look at reducing admissions to nursing and residential homes.</p> <p>There is a need to work with all partners both NHS and independent sector to promote well being and reablement.</p>
18	Corporate Critical Community Care - Substance Misuse	There are relatively small numbers of clients within this service and this is in line with the expected demand. The average unit costs however are slightly higher than anticipated and this accounts for the small overspend.	
660	Deprivation of Liberty Safeguarding (DOLS)	The level of new referrals increased significantly in the last half of 2015/16, rising from 122 in October 2015 to 190 in April 2016. It is estimated that referrals will increase by 25% in 2016/17 to just fewer than 3,100 for new and repeat referrals.	This is a key pressure area for which additional resources will be required and in future years will need to be mitigated by either additional resources (e.g. Adult Social Care precepts) or by savings elsewhere.
(82)	Carers Support	More efficient use of Better Care Fund resources will result in an underspend in the carers support budget.	



**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
<b>Provider Services</b>			
86	Older people resource centres	The overspend relates to use of Care Crew agency staffing pressures across the resource centres.	Reviewing staffing levels and the use of care crew.
50	Supported employment	The income target for supported employment has risen significantly in the last few years to £0.490m. The level of sales being generated however is considerably below the required rate and it is anticipated that there will be a shortfall of £0.100m in 2016/17. This is mitigated by actions identified in the Financial Recovery Plan, reducing the overspend to £0.050m.	Review of the service and exploring ways to maximise income revenue streams.
<b>Integrated Commissioning</b>			
(118)	Carers Support	More efficient use of Better Care Fund resources will result in an underspend in the Carers Support budget.	
<b>S75 Sussex Partnership Foundation Trust (SPFT)</b>			
317	Memory Cognition Support	There are higher numbers of WTE care packages than are funded in the budget; the unit costs are also higher than had been anticipated resulting in the overspend of £0.317m. This is due to a current lack of affordable residential and nursing home placements within the city.	Increased scrutiny of all complex or high cost care packages to ensure value for money against eligible care needs. Where possible, no placements are made above the agreed Local Authority rates. The Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) have agreed additional funding of £0.850m to offset the risks on the Community Care budget. The Risk Share arrangement with SPFT assumes a 50:50 split of any remaining overspend. A Continuing Health Care Taskforce is in place to ensure that all appropriate funding sources are identified.
255	Mental Health Support	Numbers of WTE clients are lower than the budget allocation but the average unit costs are significantly higher due to the increasing need and complexity of this client group and it is this that results in the overspend of £0.255m.	

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
(100)	Staff teams	Underspends resulting from vacancies and turnover.	
(236)	SPFT risk share	Negotiations are still ongoing to agree the risk share for the S75 agreement. For reporting purposes, it has been assumed that the proposed capped 50/50 risk share will ultimately be approved.	

## Economy, Environment &amp; Culture

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
(1,040)	Transport	(6,317)	(6,492)	(175)	-2.8%	980	813	167
(272)	City Environmental Management	28,331	28,287	(44)	-0.2%	1,330	1,330	0
(76)	City Development & Regeneration	2,787	2,934	147	5.3%	226	216	10
31	Culture	4,403	4,428	25	0.6%	572	484	88
(379)	Property	3,997	4,071	74	1.9%	789	769	20
(1,736)	Total Economy, Environment & Culture	33,201	33,228	27	0.1%	3,897	3,612	285

## 79 Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Transport			
0	Head of Transport	The implementation of the service redesign is now anticipated later than originally planned resulting in a savings risk of £0.167m. This will be funded through vacancy management pending the service redesign.	
(197)	Parking & Network Operations	Forecast over-achievement on permit fee income of £0.159m due to continued uptake in permit parking. This is partially offset by forecast under-achievement on other parking income of £0.072m. Net underspending on car park expenditure of £0.111m is largely due to reduced	

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
		borrowing costs of refurbishment schemes.	
City Environmental Management			
(44)	City Clean Operations	An underspend of £0.056m on employee costs is largely due to Easter bank holidays not falling in the financial year . There is also a reduction in agency staff expenditure of £0.098m primarily across Street Cleansing teams following various modernisation schemes resulting in a more efficient service. These are partially offset by an overspend on vehicle related costs and other ad-hoc variances totalling £0.110m.	
City Development & Regeneration			
276	Applications	There is a forecast under-achievement on Development Planning and Building Control fee income of £0.254m. This is based on current demand forecasts and delays to implementing pre-planning application advice charges. There is a net salary overspend forecast of £0.022m.	Income levels are demand led and dependant on the number of applications and major developments in the City. Potential mitigating actions are not currently possible due to pressure on the service to meet timescales for responding to applications.
(86)	Planning Policy and Major Projects	Underspends in salary costs due to vacancies being held in advance of a service restructure.	

**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
<b>Culture</b>			
25	Royal Pavilion and Museums	An overspend of £0.025m relates to savings at risk due to the delay in the implementation of the extension of cultural exemption to other sites. Unachievable one-off savings of £0.063m relating to sinking fund contributions for The Keep will be managed within other expenditure budgets within the service.	
<b>Property</b>			
213	Commercial Property Portfolio	There is a net pressure from income forecasts (representing approximately 2.6% of the income budget) based on information from managing agents. This includes £0.020m of savings at risk which are subject to economic and market conditions.	Rent income forecasts are monitored and reported on a monthly basis as part of the TBM process. The managing agents acting on behalf of the council seek to maximise the level of rental income from the commercial portfolio.
(139)	Property Services	There are underspends within the Corporate Landlord service (£0.085m), Technical Services (£0.020m) and Energy Management (£0.034m).	

## Neighbourhood, Communities &amp; Housing

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
533	Housing General Fund	4,499	4,651	152	3.4%	582	582	0
(14)	Libraries	4,968	4,968	0	0.0%	309	309	0
(142)	Communities, Equalities & Third Sector	2,757	2,857	100	3.6%	208	108	100
(107)	Regulatory Services	1,983	1,979	(4)	-0.2%	154	124	30
(24)	Community Safety	1,260	1,260	0	0.0%	133	133	0
246	Total Neighbourhood, Communities & Housing	15,467	15,715	248	1.6%	1,386	1,256	130

## 82 Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Housing General Fund			
152	Corporate Critical - Temporary Accommodation and Allocations	This service is currently forecasting to overspend by £0.820m due to the difficulty of moving households out of temporary accommodation and into sustainable tenancies. Therefore a Financial Recovery Plan has been developed which aims to bring the spend within budget, However, approximately £0.152m is at high risk of not being achieved and is therefore forecast as an overspend risk at this time.	Currently the service is forecast to overspend by £0.820m but a financial recovery plan has been developed including the use of reserves, use of DCLG money for early prevention work, vacancy management, and a change in the allocation of supported beds by Adult Social Care which aim to keep costs within budget.

**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
Communities, Equalities & Third Sector			
100	Communities, Equalities & Third Sector	The projected variance reflects the cross-cutting 'at risk' saving from the proposed merger with Community Safety in Public Health.	Pressure is expected to be managed across the Directorate.

## Finance &amp; Resources

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
(268)	Finance	10,417	10,175	(242)	-2.3%	810	810	0
(367)	Housing Benefit Subsidy	(676)	(926)	(250)	-37.0%	0	0	0
(194)	HR & Organisational Development	3,046	3,088	42	1.4%	335	335	0
(144)	ICT	7,253	7,253	0	0.0%	434	434	0
(973)	Total Finance & Resources	20,040	19,590	(450)	-2.2%	1,579	1,579	0

## Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances £'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Finance			
(55)	Financial Services	The underspend relates to turnover and associated vacancy management.	
(187)	Revenues & Benefits	The management of vacancies accounts for an underspend of £0.331m. This is partially offset by an anticipated higher spend of £0.151m on court fees, postages, income collection contractor charges and other professional fees. Other minor variances give a further underspend of £0.007m.	



**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
Housing Benefit Subsidy			
(250)	Housing Benefit Subsidy	£0.100m relates to the recovery of former Council Tax Benefit overpayments. There is not sufficient data available yet to make a detailed forecast on the main subsidy budgets, but based on last year's outturn a surplus of £0.150m can be forecast.	

## Strategy, Governance &amp; Law

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
(167)	Corporate Policy	1,102	1,102	0	0.0%	167	167	0
(135)	Democratic & Civic Office Services	1,575	1,569	(6)	-0.4%	85	85	0
(72)	Legal Services	1,474	1,474	0	0.0%	105	105	0
(236)	Life Events	27	75	48	177.8%	212	152	60
(32)	Performance, Improvement & Programmes	809	809	0	0.0%	46	46	0
(92)	Communications	669	669	0	0.0%	140	140	0
(734)	Total Strategy, Governance & Law	5,656	5,698	42	0.7%	755	695	60

## Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances £'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Life Events			
65	Registrars	Staffing cost pressures within the Registrars Service.	The Head of Life Events and Registrations Manager are progressing a service redesign in this area.
57	Elections	Staffing cost pressures within Elections Service.	A review of the costs and funding for the Elections Service will be undertaken following the EU referendum.
(74)	Bereavement Services	Income overachievements of £0.065m and vacancy management of £0.009m.	

## Corporate Services

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
(324)	Bulk Insurance Premia	0	(250)	(250)	0.0%	0	0	0
(132)	Concessionary Fares	10,933	10,889	(44)	-0.4%	240	240	0
(2,624)	Capital Financing Costs	6,705	6,705	0	0.0%	0	0	0
0	Levies & Precepts	172	171	(1)	-0.6%	0	0	0
(1,846)	Unallocated Contingency & Risk Provisions	4,066	4,066	0	0.0%	0	0	0
52	Unringfenced Grants	(15,495)	(15,641)	(146)	-0.9%	0	0	0
(521)	Other Corporate Items	1,377	1,160	(217)	-15.8%	270	270	0
(5,395)	Total Corporate Budgets	7,758	7,100	(658)	-8.5%	510	510	0

## Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Bulk Insurance Premia			
(250)	Bulk Insurance Premia	Expenditure on the settlement of claims is forecast to be lower than budgeted.	
Concessionary Fares			
(44)	Concessionary Bus Fares	Lower than anticipated reimbursements for concessionary trips.	

Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
Capital Financing Costs			
73	Capital Financing Costs	Additional net borrowing costs of £0.073m will be incurred as a result of bringing forward borrowing to take advantage of historically low borrowing rates. This is expected to make permanent savings of £0.044m per year.	Overspending is offset by an increase in forecast investment income (see below).
(73)	Capital Financing Costs	Increase in forecast investment income as a result of higher forecast investment balances than previously expected.	
Unringfenced Grants			
(78)	Unringfenced Grants	Council Tax Support Admin Subsidy Grant announced in March 2016 is higher than forecast.	
(68)	Unringfenced Grants	Local Reform & Community Voices grant announced in April 2016 is higher than forecast.	
Other Corporate Items			
(217)	Unfunded Pension Payments	There is a £0.123m underspend relating to overpayments identified in respect of previous years and £0.094m in respect of an in year reduction.	

## Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %	2016/17 Savings Proposed £'000	Savings Achieved/ Anticipated £'000	Savings At Risk £'000
2,024	Capital Financing	31,916	31,916	0	0.0%	0	0	0
(114)	Head of Housing HRA	3,197	3,197	(0)	0.0%	385	385	0
(57)	Head of City Development & Regeneration	264	237	(27)	-10.2%	37	37	0
(44)	Housing Strategy	664	664	0	0.0%	0	0	0
(1,376)	Income Involvement Improvement	(49,514)	(49,526)	(12)	0.0%	239	239	0
(1,737)	Property & Investment	11,557	11,283	(274)	-2.4%	348	348	0
(733)	Tenancy Services	1,916	1,959	43	2.2%	384	384	0
(2,037)	Total Housing Revenue Account	0	(270)	(270)	0.0%	1,393	1,393	0

## Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
(120)	Responsive Repairs	This underspend relates to a reduction in responsive repairs expenditure due to the increased levels of capital investment in council dwellings over recent years as well as reducing stock numbers from Right to Buy sales and stock transfers to Seaside Homes.	

**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
(170)	Gas servicing and maintenance	Estimated contract efficiency savings relating to a new gas contract which commenced on 1 April 2016.	

## Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG)

## Revenue Budget Summary

2015/16 Outturn Variance £'000	Service	2016/17 Budget Month 2 £'000	Forecast Outturn Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 £'000	Forecast Variance Month 2 %
0	Individual Schools Budget (ISB)	121,918	121,918	0	0.0%
43	Early Years Block (including delegated to Schools) <i>(This includes Private Voluntary &amp; Independent (PVI) Early Years 3 &amp; 4 year old funding for the 15 hours free entitlement to early years education)</i>	12,030	12,030	0	0.0%
(356)	High Needs Block (excluding delegated to Schools)	18,456	18,575	119	0.6%
(119)	Exceptions and Growth Fund	5,298	5,276	(22)	-0.4%
0	Grant Income	(157,270)	(157,270)	0	0.0%
(432)	Total Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG)	432	529	97	22.5%

## Explanation of Key Variances

Key Variances			
£'000	Service Area	Variance Description	Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)
High Needs Block (excluding delegated to Schools)			
100	Mainstream top up	High Needs top up to mainstream schools is higher than budgeted.	A review of all High Needs expenditure will be undertaken to identify savings in other areas.
50	DSG contributions	Unanticipated DSG contribution to meet General Fund pressures.	
18	Other	Miscellaneous minor overspends.	
(49)	Other	Unallocated 2015/16 budget brought forward.	

**Appendix 1 – Revenue Budget Performance**

<b>Key Variances</b>			
<b>£'000</b>	<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Variance Description</b>	<b>Mitigation Strategy (Overspends Only)</b>
Exceptions and Growth Fund			
(23)	Exception 1	Mainly school reimbursement for union duties, jury service and suspension.	